

1 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT, C/O
 2 336 BON AIR CENTER #241
 3 GREENBRAE, CA 94904

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

6 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT
 7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 JASON GOODMAN
 10 Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01633-RMG-BM

PLAINTIFF'S SHOW CAUSE MOTION AS TO WHY
 THE DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE DEEMED TO
 HAVE RECEIVED CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE OF
 PENDING LAWSUIT

11
 12 NOW COMES THE PRO SE plaintiff, a layman non-attorney, to MOTION this Court as to why the
 13 defendant should not be deemed to have been constructively served with notice of this lawsuit. Restated: the
 14 plaintiff requests that this Court deem Jason Goodman, defendant, to have received imputed knowledge of this
 15 instant lawsuit.

16
 17 **MOTION**

18 Defendant Jason Goodman operates at least one YouTube social media channel known as "JASON
 19 GOODMAN". As described in the accompanying PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE
 20 [RJN]", Mr. Goodman, accompanied by two licensed attorneys, has broadcast for publication an extensive analysis
 21 of the original complaint [Doc.1, 6/14/2018, Complaint] to a world-wide YouTube audience. Additionally, Mr.
 22 Goodman demonstrates his proficiency with the PACER court database to locate the original complaint [Doc.1,
 23 6/14/2018, Complaint] in this video broadcast.

24 The RJN includes a copy of the court docket from related litigation. This docket indicates that a draft copy
 25 of the original complaint was forwarded to Mr. Goodman attached to a document known as "Seventh Declaration of
 26 D. George Sweigert, Doc.60, 6/13/2018" (See Steele vs. Goodman, 3:17-cv-00601-MHL, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern
 27 District of Virginia) in the related litigation. Therefore, Mr. Goodman has received an un-docketed copy of the
 28 original complaint several weeks ago.

PLAINTIFF'S SHOW CAUSE MOTION AS TO WHY THE DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE DEEMED TO
 HAVE RECEIVED CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE OF PENDING LAWSUIT

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 Defendant Goodman has provided publication of this instant lawsuit to himself and almost six thousand
3 viewers (5.9K+, RJN) on one (of many) of the defendant's YouTube channels. This YouTube publication by the
4 defendant to himself should be deemed as a self-referential notice of litigation. Isaiah Walker Jr. v. Harvey Soule,
5 138 Mass. (1884), Heap v. Heap, 258 Mich. 250, 242 N.W. 252 (1932).

6 The brazen broadcast of the original complaint and PACER entries to the world-wide YouTube audience
7 should spare the plaintiff of unnecessary expense to serve the summons upon the defendant in this litigation.

8 **PRAYER**

9 The plaintiff prays that this Court will deem the defendant Jason Goodman to have received constructive
10 notice of this lawsuit for the purposes of accruing time to respond to the Amended Complaint [Doc.5, 6/29/2018].

11
12 Dated this day of July 2, 2018

13 
14 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 PLAINTIFF'S SHOW CAUSE MOTION AS TO WHY THE DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE DEEMED TO
HAVE RECEIVED CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE OF PENDING LAWSUIT

1 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT, C/O
2 336 BON AIR CENTER #241
3 GREENBRAE, CA 94904

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

6 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 JASON GOODMAN

10 Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01633-RMG-BM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

11
12 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

13 On this day, July 2, 2018, I have caused to be placed into the U.S. Postal Service true copies of the
14 attached pleadings (Notice of Harmless Error, Show Cause Motion, Request for Judicial Notice) with First
15 Class postage affixed to the following parties.

16
17 Clerk of the Court
18 U.S. District Court
19 Matthew J. Perry, Jr. Courthouse
20 901 Richland Street
21 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

22 Jason Goodman
23 252 7th Avenue #6S
24 New York, NY 10001

25 I hereby attest under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and accurate.

26
27 
28 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT

RECEIVED
USDC CLERK, COLUMBIA, SC
2018 JUL -5 AM 11:35